

**EXPLORING STUDENTS' ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOURS OF  
COMPUTER-ASSISTED ENGLISH LEARNING: A CHINESE  
MAINLAND CONTEXT**

**Jinjin Lu**

Faculty of Education, University of Tasmania

---

**ABSTRACT**

*Although computer- assisted language learning (CALL) has played an important role in language curriculums for Chinese schools and university over two decades, few researchers shed lights on this technique from students' views. Based on theories of human agency, it is essential to know people' attitudes and acceptances of the information technology (Rogers, 1983). Hence, this paper explores university students' attitudes on the widespread technique of using computer-assisted language learning in the subject university in mainland China. Data was collected by using both quantitative and qualitative methods. Current computer-related behaviours were investigated by using questionnaire questions; while their attitudes towards CALL in universities explored by adopting a semi-structured interview. On the one hand, the results of the survey present that students do not use computers to assist their English learning. However, on the other hand, students hold a positive attitude on applying CALL in English classes in the interviews if efficient guidance is available. It may be inferred that more accompanied trainings and workshops would be provided in mainland universities for university students.*

**Key words:** Information technology (IT), computer- assisted language learning (CALL), attitude and behaviour.

## INTRODUCTION

Emails, the World Wide Web and various digital technologies have been widely used over two decades. Actually, there are more electronic devices and e-related subjects involved in our daily life, for example, e-government, e-business, and e-learning. Particularly, e-related educational techniques and devices are popular among schools and teachers. Although CALL is one form of those techniques, especially in the field of language education, it is still a sector of “Cinderella” education in mainland China(Furstenberg, 1997). Some researchers believed this IT technique is a panacea for language learning and teaching and a counter-measure to serious concerns in language learning and teaching(Braul, 2006; Cubillos, 1998;S. C. Yang & Chen, 2007). However, Markee(1997) argued that program developers ignored the role of innovation participants in the early 1980s, which caused the lack of computer utilization in education. Warschauer(2002) claims that role is an important role of human capacity and motivation for technological innovations.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

A large quantity of previous researches’ results regarding CALL have shown students, teachers and school principals held a positive attitude on this technique applied in language teaching and learning (Fan, 2011; Stockwell & Harrington, 2003; Wang, 2004; P. J. Yang, 1998). However, few researchers explored Chinese students’ behaviors and attitudes in Chinese territory educational institutions. Thus, it is believed that investigating participants’ views on the CALL based on human element is essential, which can benefits future language learning and teaching in China.

### Human agency

Human agency is a broad term to address human intervention issues that influences technology use. However, it touches upon in studies addressing computer-related attitudes more often (Seyed & Farimah, 2013). Previous research mentioned above did not show human element as an important role which has been involved in IT related- projects. Researchers, such as Yang and Tsai(2008)argued that a major problem in the development of IT projects is the limited understanding of users’ characteristics. Similarly, Ma, Andersson, and Streith(2005) also

argued that the wide use of computers cannot guarantee the popularity and effective use of CALL. They also declared that there is need to know where computer are placed. Their arguments were supported by Kern(2006), who claimed that the way how to use specific technologies and the different context is being in is more vital than whether it is effectively used or not. Kessler(2007) and Jung(Jung, 2005)proposed some issues need attention, such as attitudes towards computer technology. Although these researches were conducted in western countries, they still shed lights on matters on human agency. That means humans' attitudes on computer technology cannot be ignored.

### **CALL attitudes**

Users' attitudes have been become a popular research topic in CALL studies in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. It found that attitudes could have a mediating effect when using technology (Ma, et al., 2005). The psychologist Rogers (1983)puts forward the idea that users' attitudes play an important role in the widespread of innovations and the attitudes to use these innovations will affect actual use. Thus, it is believed that attitudes towards computing technology will affect learning with computers since we see IT as an innovation(Davis, 1989; Fisher, 1993). An influx of research has demonstrated that the value of attitudes and shown that users' positive attitudes towards the new technology is a key element to develop transformation in education (Albirini, 2006; Cox, Preston, & Cox, 1999; Liaw, 2002; Ma, et al., 2005; Yuen & Ma, 2002).

As the importance of the role of attitudes in technology integration was has been widely reviewed, yet, an investigation of users' attitudes is essential, which will influence the innovation of the CALL in China. However, according to Davis (1989) and Ma (2005), when considering users' attitudes towards technologies, other influential factors cannot be ignored, such as confidence in the use of IT, personal access to IT, positive attitudes towards to educational change, technical support, quality training, and the cultural relevance of IT. All these factors can influence users' attitudes to some extent. Within a large number of researches, as few of them have touched on Chinese mainland users' attitudes on this innovation, it is vital to introduce the background of CALL in mainland China in the following sections.

At the beginning of 2004, the Ministry of Education of China initiated the first round of a nationwide research-oriented reform in English language teaching in China by selecting four

multimedia web-based English course textbooks published by four academic presses in Beijing and Shanghai to be piloted in 180 colleges and universities for one academic year. Within these 180 colleges and universities, they called it as ‘college-English’ course. These 180 institutions are required to implement computer-assisted multimedia-supported online courses into their ‘College English’ curriculum, to carry out empirical studies, and to publish their findings in academic journals.

As a result of a series of top-down reforms in language teaching, the last decade has witnessed an increasing interest in this topic among Chinese researchers. For example, a subject keyword search with ‘college English’ and ‘web’ or ‘multimedia’ in Chinese academic journals (CNKI) database, the results show that 114 hits between 1995-2003, while the same search in the years 2003-2005 results in 126 hits. The numbers of the publications have been over 1000 at the end of 2010. Therefore, CALL has aroused Chinese researchers’ interest but the use of it was initially based on the governmental policy decisions instead of human agency.

## **THE STUDY**

### **Research questions**

Three research questions have been aroused in this study to envisage the CALL attitudes of the university students in the mainland.

- What is the behavior of Chinese university students using CALL?
- What is the attitude of Chinese university students towards CALL?
- Is any connection with the behaviors and the attitudes?

### **Methodology**

A mixed method was utilised in a form of both quantitative and qualitative methods to collect and analyse data. It is believed that these two methods can be used separately in different phrases in a study (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 1998). Using both quantitative and qualitative methods in a research are very important and helpful (Johnson & Onwuegbuzie, 2004). First of all, it can enable the researcher to have a broaden mind on data collection and analysis. Also, during this process, the researcher could gain deeper insights into the views of the participants within the research area. Therefore, the findings of the study are believed to be more likely to have covered the strengths of mixed methods and offset the weakness of using only one of

them (R. B. Johnson & Turner, 2002). It is believed that the overall strength of this research is potentially greater than the studies based on only one method (Creswell, 2009).

### **Participants**

347 university students from two Chinese universities participated in the survey in the first phrase and 20 of them joined in the semi-structured interview in the second phrase. One of the universities locates in the middle part of mainland China, which has a high reputation of the education quality and academic records. The other one is in the southern part of China, which lies close to Hong Kong and Macau. These two universities were among the 180 universities, which was in the first round reform to adopt CALL in their English teaching and learning at early stage. Thus, to investigate their students could be of a kind of representation.

### **Instrument design**

In the first phrase, the questionnaire was designed to examine students' behaviors in the condition of using CALL, which adopted a five-Likert Scale (Likert, 1932). In the survey, 24 questions were addressed different items regarding the use of CALL. Students were asked to choose the most appropriate answer according to their actual use of CALL in English class and out of class. The responses range from never (1), to seldom (2), to sometimes (3), to often (4), and to very often (5). Higher scores indicated students like adopting CALL to their English learning process. The semi-structured interview was conducted after the survey in the second phrase, including 10 questions. These 10 questions were believed to further explore students' views towards their use of CALL in learning as a supplementary of the questionnaire.

Both the questionnaire and interview questions were translated into Mandarin language as Brown (2001) suggested that questions should be designed at an appropriate level in order to minimize measurement error, i.e. mostly in the first language of respondents.

### **Pilot study**

A pilot study is to ensure the validity and the translations suitability of the two research tools. The researcher invited two TESOL (Teaching English as other languages) academic staff and two students majoring in English literacy to read English version of the question items and Chinese versions so that the questions could be approved their accuracy. After this evaluation process, 5 university students piloted the revised versions of the questionnaire and interview

questions. The aim of this process was to recheck its validity and translation suitability.

### Data analysis

The Statistics Software SPSS 20.0 was used to analyse the quantitative data. Descriptive statistics and non-parametric, including Kruskal-Wallis Test and Mann-Whitney U Test, were used to describe the answers to the questionnaire. However, for the qualitative data, Nvivo version 10 was adopted to provide students' attitudes with a 'data-grounded' method(Bazeley, 2010). This method allows the researcher to keep a close eye to phenomenon without any assumptions at the very beginning and then to compare incidents constantly to build higher-level themes. Afterwards, core categories emerged from this process by comparing and relocating within these textual data.

### Results of Quantitative data

The first part of the questionnaire has five questions regarding participants' background information, such as gender, academic facility, and the nationality. The details of the participants' biographical information are presents below:




Figure 1 Number of male and female students




Figure 2 Number of students' nationalities




Figure 3 Number of students' in four disciplines




Figure 4 Number of the participants from urban areas and rural areas




Figure 5 Number of the participants' courses

Above tables show the background information of 347 participants, including 163 male and 184 female students, 326 Han students and 21 minority students. Within four academic disciplines, more than half of the number of students are from Arts (N=112) and Science

(N=123), followed by Fine Arts & PE education (N=68) and Technology Engineering (N=44). Among these 347 participants, 245 of them finished their secondary education in urban areas, which outweighed the number in rural areas (N=91). Interestingly, there was only one student who undertook diploma study joined in this study.

The second part of the questionnaire aim to investigate students' behavior of using CALL in English classrooms and out of classrooms. The 24 question items were designed to address research questions, including using computer as a media to finish assignment, to communicate with others, and to take other English activities. The descriptive analysis was firstly explored on these 24 question items to obtain general information of the participants' responses.

Table 1. *Descriptive analysis*

Question s	Mean	Median	Mode	Std. Deviation	Variance	Minimum	Maximum
No.1	2.207	2.000	2.0	.7773	.604	1.0	5.0
No 2	2.784	3.000	3.0	.8202	.673	1.0	5.0
No 3	2.784	1.000	1.0	.6669	.445	1.0	5.0
No 4	1.859	2.000	2.0	.8603	.740	1.0	5.0
No 5	1.349	1.000	1.0	.7269	.528	1.0	5.0
No 6	1.637	1.000	1.0	.8436	.712	1.0	5.0
No 7	2.037	2.000	2.0	.8372	.701	1.0	5.0
No 8	1.988	2.000	2.0	.7937	.630	1.0	5.0
No 9	3.308	3.000	3.0	1.0590	1.121	1.0	5.0
No 10	1.951	2.000	1.0	.9769	.954	1.0	5.0
No 11	1.395	1.000	1.0	.7191	.517	1.0	5.0
No 12	1.254	1.000	1.0	.6216	.386	1.0	5.0
No 13	2.089	2.000	2.0	.8436	.712	1.0	5.0
No 14	1.951	2.000	1.0	.9437	.891	1.0	5.0
No 15	1.323	1.000	1.0	.7485	.560	1.0	5.0



No 16	2.585	3.000	2.0	.9222	.850	1.0	5.0
No 17	1.893	2.000	1.0	1.0979	1.205	1.0	5.0
No 18	1.669	1.000	1.0	.8583	.737	1.0	5.0
No 19	1.986	2.000	2.0	.8345	.696	1.0	5.0
No 20	2.012	2.000	2.0	.8963	.803	1.0	5.0
No 21	1.761	2.000	1.0	.9173	.841	1.0	5.0
No 22	1.530	1.000	1.0	.7141	.510	1.0	5.0
No 23	3.628	4.000	4.0	.9899	.980	1.0	5.0
No 24	1.813	2.000	1.0	.9896	.979	1.0	5.0

From the Table 1, it can be seen that most students do not like using CALL quite often to assist their English learning as the medians of most questions were less than 3, particularly, the use of it out of classes. The only question item students chose to use CALL quite often was Q23, regarding computers-assisted method to learn English songs out of English classes ( $SD=4$ ). Three questions (Q2, Q9, and Q16) need further analysis by utilizing Kruskal-Wallis tests as their medians values are 3, which indicated that the participants' views on these questions were divided (Pallant, 2007). The aim of using the Kruskal-wallis tests was to find out the factors that might be influential to these responses. This test was used to determine whether the participants' gender, academic faculties, course, nationality, and places when they finished their secondary education were associated with their behaviors in relation to using CALL in their English learning.

*Analysis of Q2 'How often do you use CALL method to learn English vocabularies?'*

Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed on Q2 by the four factors: gender, academic faculty, ethnics and urban/rural areas. All of these factors, including gender ( $X^2=0.420$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.517 > 0.05$ ), ethnics ( $X^2=1.473$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.225 > 0.05$ ), academic faculty ( $X^2=3.515$ ,  $df=3$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.319 > 0.05$ ), and urban/rural ( $X^2=0.121$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p\text{-value}=0.728 > 0.05$ ) do not correlate with the participants' responses on this question.

*Analysis of Q9 'How often do you use CALL method to watch English movies or English TV programs?'*

Similarly to the Q2, after the Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed, the four independent

variables were not correlated with the participants' responses on this question. Gender ( $X^2=0.614$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.433 > 0.05$ ), ethnics ( $X^2=0.000$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.991 > 0.05$ ), academic faculty ( $X^2=7.529$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.057 > 0.05$ ), and urban/rural ( $X^2=1.407$ ,  $df= 1$ ,  $p\text{-value}= 0.236 > 0.05$ )

*Analysis of Q16 'How often do you use CALL method to preview and review English texts?'*

When Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed on Q16, no correlation was found between students' responses and two factors: ethnics ( $X^2=1.937$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.164 > 0.05$ ), and urban/rural ( $X^2=0.499$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.480 > 0.05$ ). However, correlations were found with factors of gender ( $X^2=4.696$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.030 < 0.05$ ), and academic faculty ( $X^2=12.660$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $p\text{-value} = 0.005 < 0.05$ ). Details are shown in the following tables:

Table 2 *Kruskal-Wallis test on Q16 by gender*

Gender	N	Mean Rank	Median
Male	163	162.26	2.000
Female	184	184.40	3.000
Total	347		3.000
<b>Chi-Square value = 4.696, df = 1, p-value = 0.030 &lt; 0.05</b>			

The significance level 0.030 shown in the above output suggests a statistical significant difference in the participant behavior on using CALL as an efficient method to preview and review English texts (Kinnear & Gray, 2009). An investigation of the mean ranks indicates that the female students (Mean Rank = 184.40) held a more positive view on this question than male students (Mean Rank=162.26). That is, female students like using computers as technology to assist them in previewing and reviewing English learning materials.

Table 3 *Kruskal-Wallis test on Q16 by academic faculty*

Academic faculty	N	Mean Rank	Median
Arts	112	175.82	3.000
Science	123	189.68	3.000

<b>Technology and Engineering</b>	44	179.28	3.000
<b>Fine Arts and PE</b>	68	139.21	2.000
<b>Total</b>	347		3.000
<b>Chi-Square value =12.660 , df = 3, p-value = 0.005&lt;0.05</b>			

Table 3 presents the results of Kruskal-wallis on Q16 by four academic faculties. The significance level 0.005 shown in the above output suggests a statistical significant difference in the participant behaviors across different academic areas(Kinnear & Gray, 2009; Pallant, 2007). An investigation of the mean ranks indicates that the Science Faculties (Mean Rank = 189.68) held the most positive view on this question, with the Fine Arts and PE Faculties (Mean Rank = 139.21) reporting the least. In order to investigate which groups are statistically significantly different from one another, Mann-Whitney U tests were performed between all the groups. The Mann-Whitney test shows that no significant difference was found between Arts and Science ( $U=6326.500$ ,  $Z= -1.141$ ,  $p=0.254>0.05$ ), Arts and Technology &Engineering ( $U=2411.000$ ,  $Z= -0.221$ ,  $p=0.825>0.05$ ), and Science and Technology &Engineering ( $U= 2543.500$ ,  $Z=-0.623$ ,  $p=0.533>0.05$ ). However, statistically significant differences were found in the following groups:

- Arts and Fine Arts &PE ( $U= 2989.500$ ,  $Z= -2.562$ ,  $P=0.010<0.05$ )
- Science Faculty and Fine Arts &PE ( $U= 2977.000$ ,  $Z= -3.477$ ,  $p=0.001<0.05$ )

The median values also approve that participants from the Faculty of Fine Arts & PE (Median=2) hold a more negative views on this question than other faculty participants (Median=3).

### Results of Qualitative data

Compared with the numerical data in the first phrase, at this stage, textual data were gathered from the participants' responses in the semi-structured interview. Prior to the interview, every participants could obtain an outline of the interview questions and interview process, which could guide participants to answer questions in the formal interview (Neuman, 2011). The 20 participants were from the four academic faculties mentioned above and their background

information is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. *Information of interview participants*

Academic faculty	Students	Gender
<b>1. Arts</b>	Student 1-A (Freshman)	F
	Student 2-A (Sophomore)	F
	Student 3-A (Freshman)	F
	Student 4-A (Freshman)	F
<b>2. Science</b>	Student 1-S (Senior)	F
	Student 2-S (Junior)	M
	Student 3-S (Senior)	F
	Student 4-S (Freshman)	M
	Student 5-S (Freshman)	M
<b>3. Technology &amp; Engineering</b>	Student 1-TE (Junior)	F
	Student 2-TE (senior)	F
	Student 3-TE (Senior)	F
	Student 4-TE (Freshman)	F
	Student 5-TE (Sophomore)	M
	Student 6-TE (Freshman)	M
	Student 7-TE (Sophomore)	F
<b>4. Fine Arts &amp; PE</b>	Student 1-FAPE (Freshman)	F
	Student 2-FAPE (Sophomore)	M
	Student 3-FAPE (Senior)	F
	Student 4-FAPE (Freshman)	F
<b>F=Female M=Male</b>		

From Table 4, it can be seen that the number of female students (N=14) in the interview is over twice as many as male students (N=6). Interestingly, the number of participants from the Faculty of Technology & Engineering (N=7) are the most within the four faculties. These 20 participants ranged from 17 years-old to 24 years old students on campus, including 9 freshmen, 4 sophomores, 2 juniors, and 5 seniors. Some interesting results were found within the textual

data, which was grounded from students' responses of the raw data.

After the constant comparisons and relocation, 4 core categories were generated from the participants' views. The details are presented as follows:

- Negative attitudes towards the CALL
- Positive attitudes towards the CALL
- Students' expectations
- Educational system

#### **Negative attitudes towards the CALL**

The most significant category emerged from the coding process was "Negative attitudes towards the CALL", which had 113 responses. Within this category, students shared their opinions on this technology used in daily learning and teaching. Most of them hold a negative view on it, such as difficulties of accessing Internet out of classes, a lack of technological skills, a lack of computer training and workshops, cultural conflicts, work in isolation, limited time with it, cost and effectiveness. A participant told the researcher that she did not like using computers to learn English at all. She thought three main reasons that had influenced her to use computers as a method to assist in language learning. The first one was the cost. Her family was in the mountain areas of China, where the people still lived under the poverty line nowadays. Many of them could not afford children to receive secondary education, let alone to buy a computer for children to learn a foreign language in the university. The only place she used computers was in the library. However, library lab was not open in holidays and weekends. Therefore, she found it was difficult to study with help of computers. Worse, she found she felt so shame in front of some students who owned PC and high technology appliances that she could not live and study with them.

#### **Positive attitudes towards the CALL**

However, some students still hold a positive attitude on the wide use of CALL in language learning in the university at present. An example was give below:

*I think computers are very good as a supplementary way to help me in English learning, especially, when my English tutors asked for leave or was in holiday. I use it to listen to*

*English news, which I have found it is the most effective method to improve my English and listening. Also, I use my PC to preview and review English texts, which is more applicable and smart for me to take.*

*Student 5-TE (Sophomore)*

### **Students' expectations**

Within this category emerged, students hope that they would have more chances to use computers to assist their English learning when they were in secondary schools. Since only computers provided in the university at present, they could not adapt to the new teaching and learning environment in a short time. Also, workshops and technological trainings regarding computer skills are necessary to be provided every semester. Lots of students proposed that computers should be accessed at weekends in the computer labs or in the library otherwise it is difficult for them to study out of English classes.

### **Educational system**

The least responses the researcher got were codes within "Educational system" category. 31 responses were gathered addressing the problems in current Educational system, such as teachers take a dominant role in classes, college Exam-oriented in secondary education, and final exams are still important in Chinese universities. A participant shared his opinions with the researcher, saying:

I did not have any access to computers when I was in high schools. What my English teachers did everyday was to force us to finish a load of homework and exam papers. I felt like a child who was spoon-fed by my teachers. In my spare time, I really would like to turn my PC on to listen to English songs, but my parents did not allow me to do it since they thought I would play computer games with it instead of learning English. In a word, an exam-oriented educational system was harmful for the young guys like me.

Student 3-FAPE (Senior)

## **SUGGESTIONS AND CONCLUSION**

From both the quantitative results and qualitative results, it can be concluded that students in these two universities did not hold a positive attitude on the CALL. Also, students' behaviors on the questions of the questionnaire indicated that they did not like using the CALL to assist

their English learning, particularly, out of English classes. In a Chinese context, teachers are playing a dominant role in the classrooms instead of students. They use textbooks and exam papers as a main learning and teaching tool, which influence students over several decades (Hu, 2002). According to the findings, suggestions are provided as follows:

1. There is a pressing need for students to become familiar with IT as a tool to help their learning process based on Lam's suggestions(2000). Lim (2007) believes that students should be encouraged to construct learning content actively by themselves with the use of IT rather than receiving teachers' instruction all the time. Therefore, universities and schools should let students have more chance to access IT in classes and out of classes as Lam (2000) thinks exposure is the best solution to solve IT –related problems.
2. Training is also necessary. Although teachers' attitudes were not involved in this research, Warschauer and Healey(Warschauer & Healey, 1998) believe that if training teachers to use IT efficiently or not is a key element to success in the effective use of CALL. Also, students need training as well. Chapelle and Hegelheimer(2004) argued that users not only need computer competence but also should know how to locate materials appropriately, for example, learning how to use search engines and performing searches.
3. Exam-oriented educational system should be innovated and reformed in the direction of developing and cultivating students' creativities and diversities in language learning. In the near future, high schools students and primary schools kids should have more chances to access computers in mainland China; otherwise, a policy based reform to use CALL in universities could not be welcomed by students.

## REFERENCES

1. Albirini, A. (2006). Teachers' attitudes toward information and communication technologies: The case of Syrian EFL teachers. *Computers & Education*, 47, 373-398.
2. Bazeley, P. (2010). Computer assisted integration of mixed methods data sources and analyses. In A. Tashakkori & C. Teddlie (Eds.), *Handbook of mixed methods research for the social and behavioral sciences* (2nd ed., pp. 431-467). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
3. Braul, B. (2006). ESL teacher perceptions and attitudes toward using computer assisted

- language learning: Recommendations for effective CALL practice (Master's thesis, University of Alberta) (Master's thesis). Retrieved March 2nd, 2013
4. Brown, J. D. (2001). *Using surveys in language programs*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
  5. Chapelle, C. A., & Hegelheimer, V. (2004). The language teacher in the 21st century. In S. Fotos & C. M. Browne (Eds.), *New perspectives on CALL for second language classrooms* (pp. 299-316). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
  6. Cox, M., Preston, C., & Cox, C. (1999). *What factors support or prevent teachers from using ICT in the primary classroom*. Paper presented at the British Educational Research Association Annual Conference, Brighton.
  7. Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.
  8. Cubillos, J. H. (1998). Technology: A step forward in the teaching of foreign languages. In J. Harper, M. Lively & M. Williams (Eds.), *The coming age of the profession* (pp. 37-52). Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
  9. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13, 319-340.
  10. Fan, S. (2011). *Significance of the Web as a Learning Resource in an Australian University Context ( PhD thesis)*. University of Tasmania, Launceston.
  11. Fisher, E. (1993). Access to learning: Problems and policies. In P. Scrimshaw (Ed.), *Language, classrooms and computers* (pp. 75-90). London: Routledge.
  12. Furstenberg, G. (1997). Teaching with technology: What is at stake? *ADFL Bulletin*, 28, 21-25.
  13. Hu, G. (2002). Potential cultural resistance to pedagogical imports: The case of communicative language teaching in China. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 15(2), 93-105.
  14. Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed method research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Education Research*, 33(7), 14-26.
  15. Jung, H. J. (2005). Advantages and disadvantages from opportunities in CALL



- classroom environments. *The Reading Matrix*, 5(1), 57-72.
16. Kern, R. (2006). Respectives on technology in learning and teaching languages. *TESOL Quarterly*, 40(1), 183-210.
17. Kessler, G. (2007). Formal and informal CALL preparation and teacher attitude toward technology. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 20(173-188).
18. Kinnear, P. R., & Gray, C. D. (2009). *SPSS 16 made simple*. East Sussex: Psychology Press.
19. Lam, Y. (2000). Technophilia or technophobia? a preliminary look at why second language teachers do or do not use technology in their classrooms. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 56, 389-420.
20. Liaw, S. S. (2002). An Internet survey for perceptions of computers and the World Wide Web: Relationship, prediction, and difference. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 18, 17-35.
21. Likert, R. (1932). *A technique for the measurement of attitudes*. New York: Archives of psychology.
22. Lim, C. P. (2007). Effective integration of ICT in Singapore schools: Pedagogical and policy implications. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 55, 83-116.
23. Ma, W., Andersson, R., & Streith, K. O. (2005). Examining user acceptance of computer technology: An empirical study of student teachers. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21, 387-395.
24. Markee, N. (1997). *Managing curricular innovation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
25. Neuman, W. L. (2011). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
26. Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS survial manual* (3rd ed.). Crows Nest NSW: Allen & Unwin.
27. Rogers, E. M. (1983). *Diffusion of innovations*. New York: The Free Press.
28. Seyed, A. F. J., & Farimah, S. (2013). Exploring the human element of computer-assisted language learning: An Iranian context. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 26(2), 158-176.

29. Stockwell, G., & Harrington, M. (2003). The incidental development of L2 proficiency in NS-NNS email interaction. *CALICO Journal*, 20(2), 337-360.
30. Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (1998). *Mixed methodology: Combining qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
31. Wang, Y. P. (2004). Distance language learning: Interactivity and fourth generation Internet-based videoconferencing. *CALICO Journal*, 21(2), 373-395.
32. Warschauer, M. (2002). A development perspective on technology in language education. *TESOL Quarterly*, 36, 453-475.
33. Warschauer, M., & Healey, D. (1998). Computers and language learning: An overview. *Language Teaching*, 31, 57-71.
34. Yang, F. Y., & Tsai, C. C. (2008). Investigating university student preferences and beliefs about learning in the web-based context. *Computers & Education*, 50, 1284-1303.
35. Yang, P. J. (1998). Networked multimedia and foreign language education. *CALICO Journal*, 15(1-3), 75-88.
36. Yang, S. C., & Chen, Y. J. (2007). Technology-enhanced language learning: A case study. *Comparison in Human Behavior*, 23, 860-879.
37. Yuen, A., & Ma, W. (2002). Gender differences in teacher computer acceptance. *Journal of technology and Teacher Education*, 10, 365-382.